

**SECRET****ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Revising Restrictions on Intelligence Activities

FROM

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO. \_\_\_\_\_

DATE

10 DEC 1980

25X1

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

~~DD/PSI~~

2.

COPS  
DD/PTOS

11 DEC 1980

15 DEC 1980

Office

3.

DD/CA

4.

C/SEG

5.

C/SRD

6.

C/AS

7.

C/IRG

8.

C/ITSD

12/19, M

9.

C/PhysD

22 DEC 1980

22 DEC 1980

DB

10.

C/OPS/PTOS

11.

DD/PTOS

22 DEC 1980

12/21/80 J

12.

13.

PPG

14.

15.

The proposals of the General Counsel were discussed at the DDA Staff Meeting on 9 December and, at the direction of the Director of Security, are hereby forwarded for in-depth review by your component. For convenience, copies of Executive Order 12036 and PD/NCS-19 are attached. Please note the sensitivity of PD/NCS-19. Copies should be destroyed after they have served their purpose for the instant exercise.

It is suggested that review include [ ] the implementing directive of the Executive Order for the Agency.

Please forward comments no later than 30 December 1980 to [ ] G.

2 to 8, 9 - pls review quickly + move along - need to respond by 30 Dec 80

C/OPS/PTOS

Changes as rec. by [ ] are covered impact on PDS - + OS.)

8-10: I have no problem with the

FORM 1-79

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

Regraded Unclassified when separated

**SECRET**

(OVER)

9 to 10, 11: In a certain sense, I don't feel that the proposed changes would significantly impact on Physical Security Division. At the same time, I feel somewhat uneasy about turning the clock back on such issues as surveillance, electronic surveillance, and other intrusive investigative techniques. I cannot feel but that there were abuses in the past which the recent Executive Orders have tried to control. I also am not sure we need to loosen things up again to bring back the "bad old days." I do wholeheartedly endorse the elimination of our obligation to report evidence of criminal violations by persons other than Agency employees.

11/5/13 - Greg - I have no problem with changes. However, I would leave SEC 1-706 as is. We sometimes become aware of federal crimes through the applicant polygraph process. If we do not report same -- then the common good can be hurt or victims continue to be victimized. Jim.